

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): To the extent to which generosity is justified it has been given.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. പണിക്കർ: ൧൨൦-ൽ സബ്സിഡി കൊടുക്കുവാൻ തീർച്ചയാക്കിയിട്ടില്ലെ?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): Yes.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. പണിക്കർ: എന്തു കാരണം കൊണ്ടാണ് കൊടുക്കാൻ തീർച്ചയാക്കിയത്?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): Because all cultivation was found to be rather too high.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. പണിക്കർ: ആ സ്ഥിതിയ്ക്ക് ഈ വാട്ടർടാക്സ് കൊടുക്കണമെന്നുണ്ടോ?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): Because water was supplied.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (Vadakkancheri): May I know, Sir, in continuation to a previous answer, whether it is the position of the Government that the cultivator cultivates simply for the purpose of paying taxes?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): It never follows that he has to get something. If he does not get anything it is nobody's fault. It is not the fault of the Government because in assessing these lands to tax sufficient margin has been given.

മിസ്റ്റർ വി. ആർ. കൃഷ്ണനെഴുത്തശ്ശൻ: കൊടുക്കാൻ നിശ്ചയിച്ച സബ്സിഡി കൊടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ടോ?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): Applications are often invited from all persons who had been actually the owners of lands, when the lists were formed.

മിസ്റ്റർ വി. ആർ. കൃഷ്ണനെഴുത്തശ്ശൻ: കൊടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ടോ എന്നാണ് ചോദ്യം. റാവുസാഹിബ് എ. മാധവപ്രഭു: ഇല്ല.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (Vadakkancheri): May I know, Sir, whether the Government will be pleased to consider most graciously the necessity of granting remission in this particular case.

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): It will be considered.

മിസ്റ്റർ വി. ആർ. കൃഷ്ണനെഴുത്തശ്ശൻ: ഈ സബ്സിഡി കൊടുക്കാൻ എന്താണ് താമസം?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): The list was found to be defective in certain particulars. So applications have been called for from persons who are actually in possession.

മിസ്റ്റർ വി. ആർ. കൃഷ്ണനെഴുത്തശ്ശൻ: എല്ലാ ആളുകളുടെയും ലിസ്റ്റ് ഡിഫക്ടീവ് ആയിരുന്നുവോ?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): We cannot have a comprehensive and complete list of all the names.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. പണിക്കർ: ഈ സ്കീം ഈ കൊല്ലവുമുണ്ടോ?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): They do not want it.

Mr. President: Order, order. Hon'ble Members have spent too much time on this question.

Mr. K. S. Panicker (Trichur-Ezhuvas): One more question, Sir.

Mr. President: No, Sir.

FISHERY SCHOOLS IN THE STATE

263. **Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated):** Will Government be pleased to state.—

(a) the number of Fishery Schools in the State;

(b) the number of Fishery Trained teachers in each of these schools;

(c) whether there are sufficient number of Fishery Trained teachers in the State;

- (d) whether the Government have any proposal to send a few candidates for training to be subsequently appointed as Fishery teachers in the Fishery schools;
- (e) if they have any scheme of reorganising the Fishery schools in the State;
- (f) whether any recommendations have been made by the Code Revision Committee in respect of the Fishery schools in the State;
- (g) whether the Code Revision Committee considered at all the question of these Fishery schools;
- (h) whether they have perused the leading article in Veerakesari on the question of the reorganisation of these Fishery schools and calling the attention of the Government to the same;
- (i) whether the Government have received any scheme from anybody for the reorganisation of these schools;
- (j) if they have considered the same and what action has been taken on the same?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar):

- (a) 5.
- (b) Three schools have each a Fishery Trained teacher, the two other schools have no Fishery Trained teachers.
- (c) No.
- (d) Yes.
- (e) Yes.
- (f) No special recommendations have been made.
- (g) Yes.
- (h) Without furnishing the date of the paper it is not possible to give an answer.
- (i) Yes.
- (j) The scheme is under consideration of the Government.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): May I know, Sir, whether any attempt has been made to get anybody trained?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): Yes. We have written to the Resident to obtain seats for 5 or 6 candidates. I do not exactly remember the number to be trained in the fishery school at Tuticorin.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): May I know, Sir, whether it is to be started the next academic year?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): It all depends upon the reply to be got from the Resident.

Mr. K. T. Achuthan (Ezhuvas-Mukundapuram): From whom did the Government get the schemes?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (Diwan Peishkar): From the department of the Government and also from the Hon'ble Member, Mr. Dewar.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): What was the consideration of the committee? May I know whether anybody was examined by the committee as regards the school?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (Director of Public Instruction): I am not aware of the committees having examined anyone in particular on this question. Recommendations have been based on general consideration and not on examination or particular expert advice.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): May I know, Sir, whether any witness has been examined by the committee?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (Director of Public Instruction): Yes. On some subjects we examined.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): Was there anyone authoritatively trained?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (Director of Public Instruction): There was no man specially trained in pisci-culture.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): Whether there was anybody who was competent to speak of fishery?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (Director of Public Instruction): There was nobody trained in Fishery.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): May I know, Sir, whether there was anybody who could speak on pisci-culture?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (Director of Public Instruction): There are only two ways in which one can specialise, either by the law of natural reason or by law of qualification. And there was no one.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): May I know who the member?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (*Director of Public Instruction*): I want notice.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (*Nominated*): May I know whether the Fishery Inspector was examined?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (*Director of Public Instruction*): I know some were requested to send in their evidence. I cannot say whether the Superintendent was actually examined.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (*Nominated*): May I know whether there was any line or word on fishery school?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (*Director of Public Instruction*): That is a public document. In the second place it did not actually come within the purview of the Education Department.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (*Nominated*): I do not how it was.....

Mr. President: Order, order. The Hon'ble Member is not asking for information.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (*Nominated*): May I know how it was considered by the Committee?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (*Director of Public Instruction*): The administration of the fishery rests in the Department of the Fisheries under Land Revenue and if the Committee have ventured upon any remarks it must have done so without any reference.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (*Nominated*): May I know whether the Director of Public Instruction is vested with the Administration of the Fishery Schools?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (*Director of Public Instruction*): It rests with the Fishery Department under the Revenue Department. I am concerned only in the actual inspection of the schools.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (*Nominated*): Is any correspondence being done?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (*Director of Public Instruction*): As a matter of fact there is a great deal of correspondence between the Fishery Department and Education Department. And as the Hon'ble Member is aware he has been requested to be present at the meeting for the future where we are to thresh out the problem for the future.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (*Nominated*): May I know whether the normal control of these schools are not within the Education Department?

Rao Sahib I. N. Menon (*Director of Public Instruction*): The actual instruction is looked after by the Education Department.

KOOVAPADAM SCHEME

264. **മിസ്റ്റർ ജി. എസ്സ്. ധാരാധിംഗ്**: താഴെ പറയുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്കു ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട ഗവണ്മെണ്ട് ഭയമായി മറുപടി പറയുമോ.—

(എ) മട്ടാഞ്ചേരി നിവാസികളുടെ ആരോഗ്യത്തെയും, നഗരപരിഷ്കരണത്തെയും സാദ്ധ്യമായി സ്പർശിക്കുന്ന “കൂവപ്പാടം സ്കീം” തയ്യാറാക്കിയിട്ടു എത്ര കൊല്ലങ്ങൾ ആയി;

(ബി) അതിനെപ്പറ്റി ഇതുവരെ ഗവണ്മെണ്ടു എന്തു നടപടി എടുത്തു;

(സി) ടി സ്കീം ഉടനടി തന്നെ നടപ്പിൽ വരുത്തുവാൻ ഗവണ്മെണ്ടു ഉദ്ദേശിക്കുന്നുണ്ടോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ വി. കെ. അരവിന്ദാക്ഷമേനോൻ:

(എ) നാലുകൊല്ലമായി.

(ബി) യുദ്ധം കാരണം ടി സ്കീം നിർത്തിവെച്ചിരിക്കുകയാണ്.

(സി) മട്ടാഞ്ചേരി പട്ടണപരിഷ്കാരത്തോടുകൂടി ടി സ്കീം നടപ്പിൽ വരുത്തുന്നതാകുന്നു.

മിസ്റ്റർ ജി. എസ്സ്. ധാരാധിംഗ്: അതിനെപ്പറ്റി ഇപ്പോഴെങ്കിലും ആലോചനകൾ എടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ടോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. രാഘവൻ: എടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.

മിസ്റ്റർ ജി. എസ്സ്. ധാരാധിംഗ്: എന്നാൽ ഈ സ്കീം നടപ്പിൽ വരുത്തുവാൻ ഗവണ്മെണ്ടു വിചാരിക്കുന്നതു്?

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. രാഘവൻ: അതിപ്പോൾ ആലോചനയിൽ ഇരിക്കുകയാണ്.

The Committee has sent the report.

മിസ്റ്റർ പി. എ. മുഹമ്മദ് അക്രാം: അതു് പോസ്റ്റലാർ സ്കീമിൽ ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തിയിരിക്കുകയാണോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. രാഘവൻ: അതെ.